

Rouge River Watershed Management Plan

Working together, restoring the river





This watershed management plan (WMP) represents the joint effort of and hard work of many dedicated people, especially the members of the Alliance of Rouge Communities. There are many individuals and organizations who contributed their time, expertise, and resources during the development of this WMP. Their tireless efforts will greatly benefit future protection of the Rouge River Watershed for years to come.

The following individuals and organizations are recognized for their contributions:

Alliance of Rouge Communities:

Auburn Hills	Farmington	Novi	Southfield
Beverly Hills	Farmington Hills	Oak Park	Troy
Bingham Farms	Franklin	Oakland County	Van Buren Twp.
Birmingham	Garden City	Orchard Lake	Walled Lake
Bloomfield Hills	Henry Ford Community College	Plymouth	Washtenaw County
Bloomfield Twp.	Lathrup Village	Plymouth Twp.	Wayne
Canton Twp.	Livonia	Pontiac	Wayne County
Commerce Twp.	Melvindale	Redford Twp.	Wayne County Airport Authority
Dearborn	Northville	Rochester Hills	Westland
Dearborn Heights	Northville Twp.	Romulus	Wixom

Cranbrook Institute of Science
Friends of the Rouge
Lawrence Technological University
Rouge Remedial Action Plan Advisory Council
Southeast Michigan Council of Governments
Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority

With Guidance From:

The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Water Bureau

MDEQ Environmental Science and Services Bureau

MDEQ Land and Water Management Division

With Technical Assistance From:

ARC Technical Committee
ARC PIE Committee
Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc.
Applied Science, Inc.
Superior Environmental Aquatic Systems

The Alliance of Rouge Communities and the Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project are funded, in part, by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Grants #XP995743-01, -02, -03, -04, -05, -06, -08 and C-264000-01.

The views expressed by individual authors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the USEPA.

Mention of trade names, products, or services does not convey, and should not be interpreted as conveying, official USEPA approval, endorsement, or recommendation.

Executive SummaryPrevious Watershed Management Planning Efforts E-2

Accomplishments to Date	E-2
The 2009 Rouge River Watershed Management Plan Update	
Chapter 1: Introduction to the Rouge River Watershed	
History of the Rouge River Restoration Activities	1-4
Rouge River RAP	1-4
The Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project	1-5
Alliance of Rouge Communities	



Chapter 2: Characteristics of the Rouge River Watershed

Introduction	2-1
Climate	2-1
Geology & Soils	2-1
Hydrology	2-4
Significant Natural Features	2-9
Land Use	
Political Jurisdictions	2-11
Sanitary Sewer Service	2-13
•	

Chapter 3: Watershed Conditions

Impervious Cover and Stream Quality	3-2
Water Quality	3-3
Stream Hydrology	3-14
Ecosystems	3-18
Aquatic Diversity	3-20
Stream Habitat	3-25
Stream Corridor	3-25
Lower 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	3-29

٧	ver 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	3-29
	Subwatershed Demographics	3-29
	Impervious Cover	3-31
	Water Quality	3-33
	Stream Hydrology	3-40
	Ecosystems	3-46
	Aquatic Diversity	3-46
	Stream Habitat	3-55
	Stream Corridor	3-57
	Historical Storm Water Projects in the Lower 1 Subwatershed	3-58

Lower 2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions

Wei 2 Subtrate silea Management / wea Contactions	
Subwatershed Demographics	3-62
Impervious Cover	3-64
Water Quality	
Stream Hydrology	
Ecosystems	
,	

Aquatic Diversity	3-80
Stream Habitat	3-89
Stream Corridor	3-89
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Lower 2 Subwatershed	
,	
Main 1-2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	3-95
Subwatershed Demographics	
Impervious Cover	
Water Quality	
Stream Hydrology	
Ecosystems	
Aquatic Diversity	
Stream Habitat	
Stream Corridor	
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Main 1-2 Subwatershed	
Thistorical Storm Water Frojects in the Main' 1 2 Subwater shearing	5 125
Main 3-4 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	3-129
Subwatershed Demographics	
Impervious Cover	
Water Quality	
Stream Hydrology	
Ecosystems	
Biological Ecosystems: Aquatic Diversity	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Biological Ecosystems: Stream Habitat	
Biological Ecosystems: Stream Corridor	
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Main 3-4 Subwatershed	3-160
NAI-dalla 1 Cuburatarah ad NAsasasasasasas Area Canditiana	2.462
Middle 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
Subwatershed Demographics	
Impervious Cover	
Water Quality	
Stream Hydrology	
Ecosystems	
Aquatic Diversity	
Stream Habitat	
Stream Corridor	
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Middle 1 Subwatershed	3-194
Middle 3 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
Subwatershed Demographics	
Impervious Cover	
Water Quality	
Stream Hydrology	3-206
Ecosystems	3-213
Aquatic Diversity	3-213
Stream Habitat	3-221
Stream Corridor	3-223
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Middle 3 Subwatershed	3-224

Upper Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	3-228
Subwatershed Demographics	3-228
Impervious Cover	3-230
Water Quality	3-230
Stream Hydrology	3-241
Ecosystems	3-245
Aquatic Diversity	3-245
Stream Habitat	3-253
Stream Corridor	3-255
Historical Storm Water Projects in the Upper Subwatershed	3-258
Chapter 4: Challenges in the Rouge River Watershed	
Introduction	4-1
Designated, Desired and Beneficial Use Impairments	4-2
Desired Uses in the Watershed	4-2
Beneficial Use Impairments (BUIs)	4-3
Environmental Stressors and Pollutants of Concern	4-4
Sources of Identified Pollutants and Environmental Stressors	4-11
Combined Sewer Overflows	4-11
Illicit Connections/Discharges	4-11
Urban/Rural Storm Water Runoff	4-12
High Percentage of Impervious Surfaces and Lack of Natural Featur	res 4-13
Floodplain/Riparian Corridor Modifications and Loss of Capacity	4-13
Pet Waste/Urban Animal Waste/Nuisance Waterfowl	4-13
Conclusion	4-14
Chapter 5: Goals and Objectives for the Rouge River Watershed	
Introduction	5-1
Vision Statement	5-1
Goals and Objectives	5-1
•	
Chapter 6: Rouge River Watershed Action Plan	
Action Plan Development	6-1
Critical Areas	6-1
Critical Areas	6-2
Stream Hydrology	6-12
Conclusion	6-15
Priority Protection Areas	6-15
Protection Areas based on Benthic Macroinvertebrate	6-16
Protection Areas based on Fisheries	6-17
Protection Areas based on Frog and Toad Monitoring	6-17
Protection Areas based on Habitat Quality	6-18
Implementation Roadmap	6-20
Implementation Sequence & Timeline	6-20
Volume reduction – Subwatershed BMP Scenarios	6-20
Best Management Practices (BMPs)	6-23
Structural Practices	
Non-Structural Practices	6-28

Recommended Implementation Actions	6-39
Technical & Financial Assistance	6-55
Chapter 7: Public Participation Plan	
Introduction	7-1
Rouge River Watershed Survey	7-2
Stakeholder Public Meetings	
Rouge River Watershed Management Plan Video	
Alliance of Rouge Communities (ARC) Website	
Promoting the Goals of the Watershed Management Plan	
Chapter 8: Evaluation Methods for Measuring Progress	
Introduction	8-1
Watershed Historical Five-Year Monitoring Plan (2004-2008)	
ARC Watershed Monitoring Plan (2009-2013)	8-2
Planning and Reporting	8-5
Monitoring Plan Annual Review	8-5
Data Handling, Data Mangement & Analysis	
Monitoring Report/Brochure/Press Release	
Physical Monitoring	
Geomorphology/Stream Classification	
Precipitation	
Continuous Stream Flow	
Water Quality Monitoring	
Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature	
Grab Sampling	
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality	
E. coli	
Total Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids	
Biological Health Monitoring	
Fish, Macroinvertebrates& Habitat	
Benthic Monitoring (FOTR & WCDOE)	
Green Infrastructure (Land Cover) Monitoring	
Public Education/Involvement	
Public Survey	
Targets for Measuring Progress	
Chapter 9: Steps for Plan Sustainability	
Steering Group for Plan Implementation & Monitoring	9-1
Local Partner Resolution & Agreement	
Local Government Partner Resolution for the Rouge River	
Watershed Management Plan	9-1
Community Partner Agreement for the	
Rouge River Watershed Management Plan Implementation	9-2
Chapter 10: References	10-1
Chanter 11: List of Acronyms	11-1

List of Appendicies

and E. coli TMDL for the Rouge River
Appendix B: ARC Non-Point Source Modeling of the Rouge River Watershed
Appendix C: ARC USGS Gauge Trend Analysis & Recommendation
Appendix D: Various Partner Reports
Appendix E: Stakeholder Survey Results
,
List of Figures
Executive Summary
E-1: DO Concentrations E-1
E-2: Rouge River Watershed E-2
L Z. Nouge river watersneumann L Z
Chapter 2: Characteristics of the Rouge River Watershed
2-1: Rouge River Watershed2-2
2-2: Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)2-3
2-3: Bedrock Geology
2-4: Soils
2-5: Hydrologic Soil Groups
2-6: Combined Sewer Drainage Areas
Chapter 3: Watershed Conditions
Overall Watershed Conditions
3-1: Percent DO Values Greater than 5 mg/L at Four Continuously
Monitored Sites 3-1
3-2: Effects of Impervious Surfaces on Runoff
3-3: Percent Impervious Cover by Subarea
3-4: Water Quality Sampling and Flow Monitoring Locations
3-5: Dissolved Oxygen TMDL Reaches
3-6: <i>E. coli</i> TMDL & Critical Reaches
3-7: Urban and Rural Hydrograph 3-15
3-8: Biota TMDL Reaches
3-9: Fish Quality Ranking
3-10: Macroinvertebrate Quality Ranking
3-11: Frog & Toad Diversity
3-12: Habitat Quality Ranking
Lower 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions
3-13: Lower 1 Subwatershed
3-14: Lower 1 Imperviousness Cover
3-15: Lower 1 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations 3-34
3-16: Lower 1 2005 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results
3-17: Lower 1 Bacterial Source Tracking Results
3-18: Lower 1 Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load

Appendix A: MDEQ Biota TMDL for the Rouge River, DO TMDL for Johnson Creek

3-21: Lower 1 Storm Water Runoff Non-Point Annual Volume	. 3-45
3-22: Lower 1 Fish Community Assessment Results	. 3-48
3-23: Lower 1 Macroinvertebrate Assessments	. 3-51
3-24: Lower 1 Frog and Toad Diversity	. 3-54
3-25: Lower 1 Stream Habitat Assessments	
3-26: Lower 1 Existing Wetlands	. 3-59
3-27: Lower 1 Existing Woodlands	
Lower 2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-28: Lower 2 Subwatershed Location	. 3-63
3-29: Lower 2 Imperviousness	
3-30: Lower 2 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations	
3-31: Lower 2 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	
3-32: Lower 2 Bacterial Source Tracking Results	
3-33: Lower 2 Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-34: Lower 2 Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-35: Lower 2 Total Suspended Solids Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-36: Lower 2 USGS Gage at Inkster Drainage Area	
3-37: Lower 2 Storm Water Runoff Non-Point Source Annual Volume	
3-38: Lower 2 Fish Community Assessments	
3-39: Lower 2 Macroinvertebrate Assessments	
3-40: Lower 2 Frog and Toad Diversity	
3-41: Lower 2 Stream Habitat Assessments	
3-42: Lower 2 Existing Wetlands	
3-43: Lower 2 Existing Woodlands	
Main 1-2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-44: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Location	. 3-96
3-45: Main 1-2 Imperviousness	
3-46: Main 1-2 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations	3-101
3-47: Main 1-2 2005 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	3-103
3-48: Main 1-2 Bacterial Source Tracking Results	3-104
3-49: Main 1-2 Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load	3-107
3-50: Main 1-2 Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load	3-108
3-51: Main 1-2 Total Suspended Solids Non-Point Source Load	3-109
3-52: Main 1-2 Storm Water Run-Off Non-point Source Annual Volume	3-111
3-53: Main 1-2 Fish Community Assessment	3-114
3-54: Main 1-2 Macroinvertebrate Assessments	3-118
3-55: Main 1-2 Frog and Toad Diversity	3-121
3-56: Main 1-2 Stream Habitat Assessments	3-122
3-57: Main 1-2 Existing Wetlands	3-126
3-58: Main 1-2 Existing Woodlands	3-127
Main 3-4 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-59: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Location	3-130
3-60: Main 3-4 Imperviousness	3-132
3-61: Main 3-4 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations	3-134
3-62: Main 3-4 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	
3-63: Main 3-4 Bacterial Source Tracking Results	
3-64: Main 3-4 Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load	3-140
3-65: Main 3-4 Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load	3-141
3-66: Main 3-4 Total Suspended Solids Estimated Non-Point Source Load	3-142

3-67: Main 3-4 USGS Gage at Detroit Drainage Area 3	-144
3-68: Main 3-4 Storm Water Runoff Non-Point Source Annual Volume 3	-145
3-69: Main 3-4 Fish Community Assessments	-148
3-70: Main 3-4 Macroinvertebrate Assessments	-152
3-71: Main 3-4 Frog and Toad Diversity	-153
3-72: Main 3-4 Stream Habitat Assessments	-156
3-73: Main 3-4 Existing Wetlands	-158
3-74: Main 3-4 Existing Woodlands	-159
Middle 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-75: Middle 1 Subwatershed Location	-163
3-76: Middle 1 Imperviousness	
3-77: Middle 1 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations	
3-78: Middle 1 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	
3-79: Middle 1 Bacterial Source Tracking Results	
3-80: Middle 1 Total <i>Fecal Coliform</i> Estimated Non-Point Source Load 3	
3-81: Middle 1 Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-82: Middle 1 Total Suspended Solids Estimated Non-Point Source Load 3	
3-83: Middle 1 USGS Gage at Garden City Drainage Area	
3-84: Middle 1 Storm Water Runoff Non-Point Source Annual Volume 3	
3-85: Middle 1 Fish Community Assessment Results	
3-87: Middle 1 Frog and Toad Diversity	
3-88: Middle 1 Stream Habitat Assessments	
3-89: Middle 1 Existing Wetlands	
3-90: Middle 1 Existing Woodlands	-193
Middle 3 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	400
3-91: Middle 3 Subwatershed Location	
3-92: Middle 3 Recreational Locations	
3-93: Middle 3 Impervious Surfaces	
3-94: Middle 3 Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations 3	
3-95: Middle 3 <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	
3-96: Middle 3 Bacterial Source Tracking Results 3	
3-97: Middle 3 Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-98: Middle 3 Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load 3	
3-99: Middle 3 Total Suspended Solids Estimated Non-Point Source Load 3	
3-100: Middle 3 USGS Gage at Dearborn Heights Drainage Area 3	
3-101: Middle 3 Storm Water Runoff Non-Point Source Annual Volume 3	-212
3-102: Middle 3 Fish Community Assessments	
3-103: Middle 3 Macroinvertebrate Assessment Results 3	-218
3-104: Middle 3 Frog and Toad Diversity 3	-220
3-105: Middle 3 Stream Habitat Assessment Results 3	-222
3-106: Middle 3 Existing Wetlands	-225
3-107: Middle 3 Existing Woodlands	-226
<u>Upper Subwatershed Management Area Conditions</u>	
3-108: Upper Subwatershed Location	-229
3-109: Upper Imperviousness	
3-110: Upper Water Quality Sampling and Rain Gage Locations	
3-111: Upper <i>E. coli</i> Sampling Results	

2 442 Harris Brita tal Committee Britan Britan	2 226
3-112: Upper Bacterial Source Tracking Results	
3-113: Upper Fecal Coliform Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-114: Upper Total Phosphorus Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-115: Upper Total Suspended Solids Estimated Non-Point Source Load	
3-116: Upper USGS Gage at Detroit Drainage Area	
3-117: Upper Storm Water Runoff Non-point Source Annual Volume	
3-118: Upper Fish Community Assessments	
3-119: Upper Macroinvertebrate Assessments	
3-120: Upper Frog and Toad Diversity	3-252
3-121: Upper Stream Habitat Assessments	3-254
3-122: Upper Existing Wetlands	3-256
3-123: Upper Existing Woodlands	3-257
Chapter 6: Rouge River Watershed Action Plan	
6-1: Critical Areas Targeting Pathogens	6-4
6-2: Critical Areas Targeting Total Suspended Solids (Sediment)	6-8
6-3: Critical Areas Targeting Nutrients	6-11
6-4: Priority Protection and Critical Areas for Watershed Actions	6-19
Chapter 8: Evaluation Methods for Measuring Progress	
8-1: Flow ad Water Quality Monitoring Locations	8-4
List of Tables	
Chapter 1: Characteristics of the Rouge River Watershed	
1-1: Phase I CSO Retention Treatment Basins	1-3
Chapter 2: Characteristics of the Rouge River Watershed	
2-1: 2000 Land Use Breakdown	
2-2: Land Area and Population for Rouge River Watershed Communities	2-12
2-3: Number of Septic Systems by Community	2-15
Chapter 3: Watershed Conditions	
Overall Watershed Conditions	
3-1: Average Impervious cover 1991 and 2002	3-2
3-2: Rouge River Watershed, Five-Year Monitoring Plan Rotation	
Schedule, 2003-2007	3-6
3-3: Criteria for Water Quality Rankings	
3-4: Streamflow Trend Analyses Summary and 2006 Prediction Totals	
3-5: Overbank Flood Flow Rate and Return Period	

Lower 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions
3-6: Lower 1 Subwatershed Community Area within the Rouge Watershed 3-31
3-7: Changes in Land Cover 1991-2002 3-31
3-8: Lower 1 Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets 3-33
3-9: Lower 1 Dry Weather Water Conditions - Summary 3-35
3-10: Existing Pollutant Loads for the Lower 1 Subwatershed 3-39
3-11: Lower 1 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at Site L06
3-12: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Lower 1 Subwatershed 3-49
3-13: MDNR Frog and Toad Survey – Percent of Sections in Which Species were
heard in the Lower 1 Subwatershed
3-14: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey Percent of Blocks in which Species were heard in
the Lower 1 Subwatershed3-53
Lower 2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions
3-15: Lower 2 Subwatershed Community Area within the Rouge Watershed 3-62
3-16: Lower 2 Subwatershed Changes in Land Cover 1991-2002
3-17: Lower 2 Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets
3-18: Lower 2 Subwatershed Dry Weather Conditions - Summary
3-19: Existing Pollutant Loads for the Lower 2 Subwatershed
3-20: Lower 2 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at US1
3-21: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Lower 2 Subwatershed 3-81
3-22: MDNR Frog and Toad Survey – Percent of Sections in Which Species Were
Heard in the Lower 2 Subwatershed
3-23: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which
Species were heard in the Lower 2 Subwatershed
Main 1-2 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions
3-24: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Community Area within the
Rouge Watershed
3-25: Changes in Land Cover 1991-2002
3-26: Main 1-2 Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets
3-27 Main 1-2 Subwatershed Dry Weather Conditions - Summary 3-100
3-28: Estimated Existing Pollutant Loads for the Main 1-2 Subwatershed 3-105
3-29: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at US4 (Birmingham) 3-110
3-30: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at US5 (Southfield) 3-110
3-31: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at US6 (Evans Ditch at
Southfield)
3-32: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Main 1-2 Subwatershed 3-115
3-33: MDNR Frog and Toad Survey – Percent of Sections in which Species were
heard in the Main 1-2 Subwatershed
3-34: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which
Species were heard in the Main 1-2 Subwatershed 3-119
Main 3-4 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions
3-35: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Community Area within the Rouge
Watershed
3-36: Changes in Land Cover between 1991-2002 3-131
3-37: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Advisory Group Targets
3-38: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Dry Weather Conditions - Summary 3-133
3-39: Estimated Existing Pollutant Loads for the Main 3-4 Subwatershed 3-139
3-40: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at Detroit 3-143

3-41: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Main 3-4 Subwatershed 3-14:	9
3-42: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which Species	
were Heard in the Main 3-4 Subwatershed 3-15-	4
Middle 1 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-43: Middle 1 Subwatershed Community Area within the	
Rouge Watershed 3-16-	
3-44: Changes in Land Cover 1991-2002	6
3-45: Middle 1 Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets 3-16	6
3-46: Middle 1 Subwatershed Dry Weather Conditions - Summary 3-16	8
3-47: Estimated Existing Pollutant Loads for the Middle 1 Subwatershed 3-17:	3
3-48: Middle 1 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at Garden City 3-17	7
3-49: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Middle 1 Subwatershed 3-18:	3
3-50: MDNR Frog and Toad Survey – Percent of Sections in which Species were	
Heard in the Middle 1 Subwatershed 3-18	6
3-51: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which Species	
were Heard in the Middle 1 Subwatershed	8
Middle 3 Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-52: Middle 3 Subwatershed Community Area within the Rouge	
Watershed 3-19	7
3-53: Changes in Land Cover 1991-2002 3-19	7
3-54: Middle 3 Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets 3-200	0
3-55: Middle 3 Subwatershed Dry Weather Conditions - Summary 3-200	0
3-56: Existing Pollutant Loads for the Middle 3 Subwatershed	6
3-57: Middle 3 Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at	
US2 (Middle Rouge at Dearborn Heights)	0
3-58: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Middle 3 Subwatershed 3-21	6
3-59: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which Species	
were Heard in the Middle 3 Subwatershed	9
Upper Subwatershed Management Area Conditions	
3-60: Upper Subwatershed Community Area within the Rouge Watershed 3-22	8
3-61: Land Cover Changes between 1991-2002 3-230	0
3-62: Upper Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Targets 3-23	0
3-63: Upper Dry Weather Conditions - Summary 3-23:	2
3-64: Existing Pollutant Loads for the Upper Subwatershed 3-23	7
3-65: Upper Subwatershed Flow Rate Trends at	
US3 (Upper Rouge at Farmington)	3
3-66: 2007 Fish Consumption Advisories for the Upper Subwatershed 3-24	7
3-67: MDNR Frog and Toad Survey – Percent of Sections in which	
Species were Heard in the Upper Subwatershed	0
3-68: FOTR Frog and Toad Survey - Percent of Blocks in which	
Species were Heard in the Upper Subwatershed	1
Chapter 4: Challenges of the Rouge River Watershed	
4-1: Subwatershed Desired Use Priority	2
4-2: Summary of the Priority Pollutants, Sources, Causes, Critical Areas	_
and Best Management Practices	5
4-3: Pollutant Effect on Designated and Desired Uses	

Chapter 5: Goals and Objectives for the Rouge River Watershed
5-1: Goals Related to Pollutants and Stressors 5-3
5-2: Relationship between Goals and Designated and Desired Uses 5-4
5-3: Relationship of Goals to Rouge River RAP Beneficial
Use Impairments (BUIs) 5-5
Chapter 6: Rouge River Watershed Action Plan
6-1: Summary of Pathogen Priority Pollutant Sources, Causes, and Best
Management Practices
6-2: Summary of total Suspended Solids Priority Pollutant Sources, Causes, and
Best Management Practices
6-3: Summary of Nutrient Priority Pollutant Sources, Causes, and Best
Management Practices
6-4: Increase of Flow Rates
6-5: Volume Reduction Targets 6-14
6-6: Estimated Fecal Coliform Pollutant Load Reductions with Volume BMP
Achievement6-15
6-7: Estimated Total Phosphorus Pollutant Load Reductions with Volume BMP
Achievement 6-15
6-8: Estimated Total Suspended Solids Pollutant Load Reductions with Volume
BMP Achievement 6-15
6-16 6-9: Priority Protection Area Summary
6-10: Assumptions for Each BMP 6-20
6-11: Lower 1 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-21
6-12: Lower 2 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-21
6-13: Main 1-2 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-22
6-14: Main 3-4 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-22
6-15: Middle 1 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-22
6-16: Middle 3 Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-23
6-17: Upper Subwatershed (Overall goals of 1%, 10% and 100%) 6-23
6-18: Pollutant Removal Efficiencies of Various BMPs 6-24
6-19: Stream Indicators to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Structural BMPs 6-24
6-20: Storm Water Pollutant Removal Associated with Municipal Operations 6-30
6-21: Best Management Practices Correlated to Approved TMDLs 6-32
6-22: Best Management Practices Correlated to Goals and Objectives 6-33
6-23: Overall Rouge River Watershed Actions 6-40
6-24: Lower 1 Subwatershed Actions 6-43
6-25: Lower 2 Subwatershed Actions 6-44
6-26: Main 1-2 Subwatershed Actions 6-45
6-27: Main 3-4 Subwatershed Actions 6-48
6-28: Middle 1 Subwatershed Actions 6-49
6-29: Middle 3 Subwatershed Actions 6-52
6-30: Upper Subwatershed Actions
6-31: Multiple subwatershed Actions
6-32: BMP Implementation & Maintenance Costs

Chapter 7: Public Participation Plan	
7-1: ARC SWAG Watershed Planning Meetings	7-2
7-2: Public Involvement, Education and Information Activities Relationship to	
watershed Management Plan Goals	7-6
Chapter 8: Evaluation Methods for Measuring Progress	
B-1: ARC 5-Year Monitoring Plan	8-3
8-2: Interim Water Quality Targets8	-10
3-3: Interim Ecological Targets8	-11
8-4: Interim Stream Volume Reduction Targets8-	-11

Organization of The Plan

This Rouge River Watershed Management Plan has compiled extensive information from each of the 2001 subwatershed management plans into one complete watershed plan. It is designed to function as a guiding document for use, reference and annual planning purposes. It is fully expected that ongoing modifications will be necessary to reflect actual resources obtained and available for its implementation. Updates will be included as an appendix on an annual or biennial basis in order to accurately reflect the implementation focus by the ARC, its members and respective stakeholders.

Executive Summary

The executive summary summarizes the key points of the plan and can be distributed to local governmental agencies and non-technical staff for basic understanding of the watershed management plan. This section highlights historical and current challenges, past planning efforts and accomplishments, current pollutants and associated goals, example initiatives to address pollutants, success evaluation and plan sustainability.

Chapter 1 – Introduction

The introduction to the watershed management plan introduces the reader to watershed, purpose of the watershed management plan and a quick history of the Rouge River restoration activities and programs. This section is where Alliance of Rouge Communities entity, Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project and Rouge River Remedial Action Plan is explained.

Chapter 2 - Characteristics of the Rouge River Watershed

This chapter reviews the general characteristics of the entire watershed from physical location, climate, geology and soils to hydrology, natural features, and political jurisdictions. There is also information regarding the hydrologic unit codes for the watershed, subwatershed and drainage areas within this section. These codes are important because they assign each reach and major tributary of the Rouge River a unique ID and should be used when applying for funding.

Chapter 3 - Rouge River Watershed Conditions

This chapter is broken up into eight different sections – overall conditions and individual sections that describe the current state of each subwatershed. The conditions within the Rouge River watershed are discussed using five broad stream indicators: Changes in water quality; Modification to stream hydrology; Physical alteration of the stream corridor; Degradation of stream habitat; and Loss of aquatic diversity.

The overall conditions section reviews how information was gathered, general health of the watershed using the above stream indicators, state water quality standards and associated TMDLs, and state designated uses. Than each subwatershed has a dedicated section to walk readers through in-depth discussions of the health of that subwatershed.

Chapter 4 - Challenges of the Rouge River Watershed

This chapter elaborates on the challenges within the watershed, specifically the primary pollutants, sources of pollutants, and their respective causes. It also walks the reader through the designated, desired, and beneficial use impairments that are affected by these by challenges.

Chapter 5 - Goals and Objectives of the Watershed Management Plan

This chapter introduces the goals and objectives for the watershed which reflect the priority pollutant and stressors across the watershed along with the designated and desired use restoration. The long-term goals represent significant strides towards expected levels of restoration. Objectives represent shorter term activities that, once achieved, will demonstrate success in meeting the goals. This chapter also relates how the goals and objectives will help move towards addressing the pollutants, restoring the designated, desired and beneficial uses of the Rouge River watershed.

Chapter 6 - Rouge River Watershed Action Plan

This chapter presents many tools and resources that are available to achieve the goals and objectives presented in the previous chapter. These tools include general structural and non-structural best management practices; implementation roadmap including BMP sequence and timeline, and critical and priority areas; specific implementation actions and projects; theoretical outcome of BMP installation; and technical and financial assistance.

Chapter 7 - Public Participation

This chapter begins with a discussion of the public involvement processes that were used to obtain input on the goals and general direction of the watershed management plan. This discussion includes details of the participants in the various mechanisms and lists the specific feedback received. This section also includes current and future public involvement, education and information activities related to the goals of the watershed management plan.

Chapter 8 - Evaluation Methods for Measuring Success

Watershed planning is a cyclical process and this chapter walks readers through how progress is going to be evaluated. Evaluation of the current, on-going and future activities, program and projects is an essential component of knowing what methods are working, what to specifically focus on and when the management plan should be updated.

Chapter 9 - Steps for Plan Sustainability

This chapter presents the organizational structures and legal relationships that the watershed entities are working in to ensure that the actions of the watershed management plan are implemented and the goals and objectives are met.

Chapter 10 - References

This chapter refers to all work cited throughout the watershed management plan.

Chapter 11 – List of Acronyms

This chapter refers to all the acronyms used throughout the watershed management plan.

Appendices

The appendices include products generated during the watershed management plan development process, reports, studies and other information not essential to the text of the watershed management plan but important for those requiring additional information on select topics.